SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW OF THE MASTERS'S THESIS

STUDY PROGRAM
STUDENT
Supervisor of MT (workplace)
TITLE OF THE MASTERS'S THESIS

PO	INT EVALUATION OF THE MASTER'S THESIS	MAX	VALUATION
1.	Master candidate's activity during elaboration of Masters's Thesis	15	
	Independence and creativity of the author, originality in approach	10	
	to find solutions	10	
	Master candidate's collaboration with the supervisor, participation	5	
	at consultations, continuous work	3	
2.	Literature review	20	
	Theoretical knowledge about the topic	5	
	Literature and other sources review, work with the actual national	10	
	and international sources	10	
	Knowledge of citation standards, ISO690	5	
3.	Methodology and Material	25	
	Ability to link the proposed methodology with the goals	10	
	Skills in experimental and creative approaches	10	
	Suitability of mathematical-statistical (or others) methods used to	5	
	evaluate and present the results	3	
4.	Results, Discussion and Conclusions	25	
	Ability to interpret the results clearly	10	
	Analysis of the results obtained, assessment of their quality and	5	
	certainty	3	
	Qualitative level and range of discussion, ability to link obtained	5	
	results with previously known and used results)	
	Scientific and technical ability to formulate conclusions of the	5	
	research and point at their usability in science and in practice	3	
5.	Formal and Graphic Level of the Masters's Thesis	15	
	Outline and logical structure of the thesis	5	
	Professional stylization and grammar	5	
	Graphic processing of text, tables and images	5	
TO	TAL SUM OF POINTS	'	

SPECIFICATION OF THE THESIS CONTRIBUTIONS AND EVALUATION OF THE MASTER CANDIDATE'S WORK
THE MOST DISTINGUISHED CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE THESIS (MASTER CANDIDATE'S)
the state of the s
THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY OF THE THESIS MASTER CANDIDATE'S

STATEMENT TO THE RESULTS OF THE PROTOCOL OF ORIGINALITY	Percentage %

GENERAL ASS	ESSMENT/EVALUATION OF THE DIPLOMA THESIS		
		Max.	points
Master car	ndidate's activity during elaboration of Master's Thesis	15	
Literature review		20	
Methodology and Material		25	
Results, Discussion and Conclusion		25	
Formal and	15		
TOTAL SUM	100		
FULFILLING TH	E REQUIREMENTS FOR MASTER'S THESIS		ı
RECOMMEND	ATION TO DEFENCE THE MASTER'S THESIS BY STATE COMMITTEE		
COMPLEX EVA	ALUATION OF THE MASTER'S THESIS - GRADE		
CLASSIFICATIO	DN – GRADE		
93 – 100 %	EXCELLENT (1)		
86 – 92 %	VERY WELL (1,5)		
79 – 85 %	GOOD (2)		
72 – 78 %	SATISFACTORY (2,5)		
64 – 71 %	SUFFICIENTLY (3)		
63 and less	INSUFFICIENTLY (4)		

Date:	Signature:
Date:	Signature: